Previous references

[illegible] 238-10 1-4
47 485 of 12

1913-14
Municipal

File No 256-12
Serial Nos 1-19

Later references

[illegible] 21-20 (113, 173, 179, 183)

Present

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos 1-19

Part B for Feb 1914

SUBJECT

Petition of Gulam Ahmed Hakim about Gosals being provided with accommodation in the town & for building on Sites nos 85 & 87 near the Cattle pound - Bangalore city

Sir, From Mr Gulam Ahmed Hakim Refg to the Govt order of the 29th Aug 1912 he presents that Gosals (cleaners of dead bodies) should be accommodated in the town and requests permission to build on Sites no 85 & 87 near the Cattle pound in the Bangalore city

Sir To the President Bangalore city Mly. no. 6"87 8-1-14 /13 Forwards the above for report G R S, 55 when recorded the case.

Sl 3 To the petitioner no. 6188 dt 15/12/12. Informing him of the action taken

Sl 4 From the President, Mysore Cement Bangalore dtd no. 305 dt 21/5/13 submitting the Vice President's memo with the opinion of the dtd Dept Committee members. Stating that the request of the Central Muhammadan Association may be allowed to be used for the purpose originally intended viz the Construction of quarters for casuals, is a reasonable one & solicits orders of Govt in the matter

Sl 5 To the President, Mysore Cement Bangalore dtd no 649 dt 15/7/13 Requesting to state who is in possession of the land in question or who claim to be in possession of the same

Sl 6 From the President, Mysore Cement Bangalore dtd no 24 dt 22/7/13 Reporting that the no 87 is assigned to be in possession of the Central Muhammadan Association, that Sch no 85 is lying vacant and that Abdul Salam is the only person who claims both the Sch as having been purchased by him

Sl 7 From Abdul Salam Manager dtd 25/7/13 In addition to the facts already stated he represents that the land has been in his family from time immemorial and that it is being held till now, that the land has been taken out

4409

G.R.S. 11] 1912

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Pub)

NOTES

File No 256

FILE HEADING.

Petition of Mr. Ghulam Ahmed Khan President the Central Mahomedan Association of Mysore

FROM

Mr. Ghulam Ahmed Khan

No. Dated 27/1/13 Received 31/1/13

Diary No. 409	Enclos	Spare Copies	Serial No /

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

RV Referring to G O No. 1932-(Pub 238-40)-4 dt 29th August 1912 represents that Ghassab (cleaner of the hides) should be accommodated in the town and requests permission to build on sites Nos 85 & 87 near the Cattle pound in the Bangalore city

NOTES AND ORDERS

2) Submitted for orders with 2 drafts

71069
4/2/13
5

7/2/13

Dy Secy) 3) Mue Dues 13/2

11

NOTES—First Sheet

GPB- 35 000—15 6 12

R S 14]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 257 of 1912

Serial No	
2	To the President No. 6157 d 15 & 13 Forwards the above for report with records of the case
3	" To the Chairman No. 6198 d 15 & 18 Informs him of the action taken
4	From the President, 2nd Council Bangalore city No. 305 d 12 & 13 (27/13) Submits the Vice Presidents' memo with the opinion of the city Improvement Committee members, states that the request of the Central Mahomedan Association that the sites which are the property of the Municipality may be allowed to be used for the purpose originally intended by the Construction of quarters for Gazzals, is a reasonable one and solicits orders of Govt in the matter
	The subject was once before Govt who decided that the sites near the Cattlepound in the Bangalore city, set apart for the residence of Gazzals but had changed hands by illegal alienation &, need not be restored to the Mahomedan community & that necessary action should be taken regarding the ownership

Nil 238-M)
23 Augt 12 RS 1-4
M

Note—Contn sheet

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

(G R S 12)

General and Revenue Secretariat

Ind File No. 256 of 1912

Serial No

of the Sale in question The President of the Mahommedan Association again prayed for a reconsideration of the order & the present report from the President Ind Comment is in response to a report called for in the matter

& The Vice President's memo & the paper under disposal deal fully with the facts of the case. On the first occasion when Govt passed orders in the case in August 1912, the question was not considered by the City Improvement Committee Now the members of the Committee to which the papers were referred are generally of opinion that the question of ownership should be decided in a Competent Court of law before any orders could be passed in the case According to sec 30 of the Ind Regulation, it appears that the question should be considered by the Municipal Council &c The President differs from the opinion of the City Improvement Committee & approved

NOTE—Contn sheet

7115

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Ind File No 256 of 1912

Serial No	
	The President may be requested to obtain the opinion of the Ind Commr 9 Submitted for orders
	D1169 24/5/13 10 The President of the Central Mahomedan Association May be informed that the ownership of the Sites being in dispute, the Govt Cannot Consider his request to allow him to build houses for the occupation of Gazzals
	D Secy) 28/5/13
	11 This appears to be a fit case for unofficially consulting the Govt Advr Please do s D.C. 31
No 1089 D 29 5 13	12 Forwarded unoffly to the Government Advocate for favour of opinion By order Khoorshedjee 29/5/13 In Secy to Govt Rev & Revenue
U O 557 12-13 IV 4/6	13 It would appear from the rec that the Site was originally given for that the use of the same was to the Mahomedan Community

NOTE—Contn sheet

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mal File No. 256 of 1912

Serial No

a burial ground: The khazi as the headman of the Community was virtually the trustee for regulating its use Any alienation made in contravention of this trust is invalid The Municipality having subsequently stepped into the shoes of Govt has become the lawful owner of the land, subject of course to the trust previously created by Govt so long therefore as the land is used for any of the purposes for which it was originally granted its occupation by the Mahomedan Community is permissible As the Municipality itself is the owner in this Case it is quite competent to withhold permission to build from any person who claims adversely to it Under the peculiar Circumstances of this Case the proposal of the Resident viz to refuse permission to Khan Salaam Meeah & to grant licence to the Mahomedan Community may be accepted In granting the permission,

NOTE—Contin. sheet.

G11—65,000—1 C 12.

G R S 12]

D115

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 256 of 1912

Serial No	
	14 Submit for orders with the opinion of the Government Advocate
	D569 4/6/13 5/6/13 with reference to para 11 supra
	Dy Secy)
routine note	13 The case has not been worked up properly the clerk should submit this in form
3 to Submit	9/6/13 Dy Secy 9/6
	17 The file is referred again to the Govt Advocate for favour of opinion on the following points —
no doubt) to 6	(i) Whether, in view of (a) the grant by the Municipality of a license to build, to the Undee once before, (b) the terms of the Govt letter No. 1932 dated 29th Aug 1912, and (c) the opinion of the majority of the City Improvement Committee it is not expedient to have the dispute in the Mch Community inter se settled by a Competent Court
V + R	(ii) Assuming that the alienation is void & the site is still Municipal property, how is action to be attained
R V	Dy Secy Undee 7th Sep 1916
Send the paper under consideration (T) II	

Contn sheet

GPE—85 000—17-8 12.

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Ind File No. 251 of 1912

Serial No 18

In regard to (1)(a) it may be observed that when the licence was granted to Abdul Salam in 1908 the question of ownership was neither raised nor considered The licence was subsequently renewed in April 1909 apparently under a misapprehension as to the person in whom the ownership vests As regards (1)(b) the question of restoration of the site to the Mahommedan Community seems open to reconsideration by Govt. in the light of fresh facts now presented With refce to (1)(c) the opinion of the Majority of the City Improvement Comtee appears to be based on a misconception as to the facts of the case The question at issue is whether the Municipality or Abdul Salam is the owner of the property & not as has been supposed, whether Abdul Salam & his vendors or the Mah Comm as a whole are the owners In a case of this kind the Municipality would do well

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65 000—17-6 12.

7115

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 256 of 1912

Serial No

to actively assert their rights & not remain a passive spectator of a flagrant violation of a trust created by the Authorities

In respect to (11) it would appear that the land is already in the possession of the Mahomedan Community - vide para 11 page 11 of File 238 of 1910. This is probably the reason why Abdul Salam though he obtained a license in 1908 has not yet been able to build. The question of eviction does not therefore seem to arise in the case

In Collection R.

30.6.13

for Secretary

9/7/13

NOTE—Contin. sheet.

GPB—65 000—17-6 12.

7125

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Ind File No. 256 of 1912

Serial No	
	19 Submitted for orders TD 69 2/7/13 3 With reference to para 17 Supra Dy Secy) Dy Secy 5/7/13
	20 Put up a draft requiring of the P.W.D. Est who is in possession of the land in question or who all claim to be in possession of it Draft 8/7
S 8/7/13	21 Draft, submitted TD 69 8/7/13 9 Dy Secy) Dy Secy 11/7
	22 Approved Draft 12/7
	23 Issue Dy 12/7
5	24 To the Resident and Council Bangalore cdy No. 649 d 15th 7 13 Requests him to state who is in possession of the land in question or who all claim to be in possession of the same
6	25 From the Resident and Council Bangalore cdy No. 24 d 22 7 13 (D 4) Reports that Site No. 97 is ascertained to be in the possession of the Central Muhammadan Association, that site no. is lying vacant & that Abdul Salam is only person who cla. s both the sites

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Govt File No. 156 of 1912

Serial No	
	having been purchased by him
7	26 from Abdul Salam meerasa Patwa
	d 25.7.13 (D 747) In addition to the
	facts already stated, represents that the
	ground has owned the tank from time
	immemorial & that it is being paid till
	now, that the land has been let out
	to one Shanmuga on a small rent under a
	rental deed that when a portion of the
	site was acquired during the time of Mr
	Leonard compensation was paid to
	the previous owners & that about 2 months
	ago when the members of the City
	Improvement Committee including the
	Health officer unanimously agreed
	no cause during their inspection only
	3 officers differed the resident, Vice-President
	& the Executive Engineer & requests in the
	circumstances for a renewal of the
	license
	27 Dewan's order on a oral repres
	made to him by Abdul Salam meerasa
	on the 25th ult July 1913
	= ? The case should be submitted
	for orders after full information
	about it is obtained
	(Sd) MV 28-7-13

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-5-12.

714

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Ind File No. 25-6 of 1912

Serial No	
	28 Petition d 29 3 13 from Abdul
R2	Salam sl 5-9 in the list of papers which
	was returned with the file has not now
	been received back nor is any entry
	made in the forest as to the retention
	in the Resident's office
	29 There is some minor discrepancy with
	regard to the sites, in one instance Thulambi
	is shown as bearing/been the owner of site no 85
	& in another of (87) These being trivial may
	be left out The value of site no 87 is stated to be Rs 400 in
	the petition but it is Rs 300/- according to the sale deed
	30 The Resident's present report is not
	based upon records of his office but only
	on an enquiry There is a receipt in the
	file to show that for 1918-9 tax has
	been collected for site no 87 from
	Abdul Salam, who now claims possession of
	both the sites & prays for a renewal of
	the licence to work, granted to him
	on more than one occasion The ~~enquiry~~
	records relating to the acquisition of the
	sites, if any, during Mr Lenhard's time
	may give further information regarding
	repossession & approved further
	information may be called for from
	the Resident
	31 Submd for orders with draft

Thro 19
31/3/13

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—25,000—17-8-12.

18

R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Jud File No 257 of 1912

Serial No.

32. The questions to be decided now are -
(1) whether there are grounds for resuming lands ~~from~~ nos 85 & 87 for the benefit of the Mahamadan Community,
(2) who is in possession of them, and how to evict Abdul Salaam if he is in
R and (3) whether the G O of the 29th Aug 1912 may be reconsidered and the lands given to the Central Mahamadan Community for the Construction of houses to Gassals on ~~the~~ the Municipality can get-possession

33 As regards (1) it is clear ~~from~~ the original deed executed by the Gassals in 1864, and the Statement of one of the executants paper 43 on the file Z1 (2) Z to that document before the Dy Cur that the gassals had no right to alienate their lands, and that therefore Abdul Salaam derives no title by his purchase of the sites from private parties It is alleged by Abdul Salaam that the Municipality under disposal IV has levied taxes on the dwellings of the gassals and has therefore admitted their ownership of the and title But the levy of the tax on dwellings does not necessarily amount to admission of title to the ground on which they stand, and it is submitted that dwellings stand

NOTE—Contn sheet.

[R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 157 of 1912

Serial No

even on lands leased out from the Municipality, can be charged house tax.

34 But the real difficulty which might operate as an estoppel against the Municipality appears to be the circumstance, if it be true, of them having paid compensation for a portion of this very land, when they acquired it before a lane thereon as mentioned in the present petition of Abdul Salaam Marakayar, and the petition of the President of the Central Muhammadan Association also refers to the question of this land in question. The President of the Municipality has not reported about this matter.

35 As regards (2), it is to be observed that no fresh facts have been adduced as yet. The President reports that Site No 87 is understood to be in the possession of the Central Muhammadan Association, and that Site No 85 is vacant. The Central Muhammadan Association have not specifically and clearly stated, in any of their petitions, that they are in possession of S. No 85.

36 Abdul Salaam Marakayar, on the other hand, has not been specifying that he is in possession of both the lands, but has only said that he

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Jud File No. 256 of 1912

Serial No

has purchased both of them, and leased out the land to one Shamsuma

Whether the expression "the land" includes both the Sites, is by no means clear

37 Lastly as regards (b), the G.O. of 29th Aug 1912 was based on the presumption that the gaonds had develop views the new burial ground but now reported that they have not developed them further it is represented that Gaonds have as much work within the town as in the graveyard A Case has been therefore made out for granting these sites & build sheds for them, if the sites belong to the Municipality

38 In view of the more uncertain of the right for a long time by the Municipality, the possible stoppage that work operate, on account of the payment of compensation during the acquisition, and the very undesirable and hasty circumstances regarding the possession of the land, it appears that there is probably nothing gained by continuing the enquiry, and the fact of possession may best be left to be fought out in the Judicial Courts

NOTE—Contin sheet.

GPB—61 500—17-6-13

28.

C R S 12]

747
7/11

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 256 of 191 E

Serial No	
39	To make the case clear, however, a draft enquiring about the details regarding the acquisition is put up for approval, if necessary.
	40 Please put up a draft as revised the case will be fit for submission to the Diwan under the further information called in the draft is received
41	Fair draft, submitted
42	Approved
43	
8	44 To the Treasurer and Comm Bangalore City No. 1728 dt 8 8 13 forwards the petition & requests him to submit a detailed report therein & also furnish information as to when the land was acquired for the Lake &.
9	45 From Do - No. 184 dt 6 12 13 (D 3340) Reports that the owners of plots nos. 85 86 & 87 are mentioned in the Compensation memorandums which like as many other cases in the City remain undisposed of for want of payment &

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | 2nd File No. 256 of 1912

Serial No

that the site No. 87 was assessed in 1908-9 to a tax of Rs 3-8 was recovered from Abdur Salam Mecca for the first time & that the tax for the subsequent years was received from Mr Abbas Khan, Secretary of the Central Muhammadan Association

46 It is not clear whether the land has been resumed for acquisition If approved the records may be called for

47 Subd for orders

T 1911 48) The information is available as to 16/12/13 when and under what circumstances the tax on the site referred to was recovered from the Abbas Khan for the years 1909-10 to 1912-13, while that for the previous year was received from Abdur Salam Mecca

Sd 4)
16.12.13

49 The Compensation matter referred to in the Precedent Letter (Serial 9) and the Collection of the tax for 1908-09 from the petitioner pursuant to the advice tendered of leaving the matter to Judicial Court as stated in para 38 supra

50 It is worthy of note that the first payment of tax by the Central Muhammadan

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-4-12.

18

3345

R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Secret File No. 258 of 1912

Serial No

~~Association~~ Expenditure for the year 1909-10, to Synchronous with the beginning of their Campaign against the Pet[s] in October 1909. (vide reference in flag A) Submitted for orders

Dr Lee[n] 17/12

51 Please propose a short draft order accordingly Secret 27/12

52 Draft, submd

TLS 61 6/1/14

53 Please put up tidy draft of the order as (7) revised

54 Four drafts, submd

TLS 61 8/1/14

(7) Dr Lee[n] 9/1

55 A draft as revised may be put up early Secret 17/1

56 Four drafts, submd

TLS 61 17/1/14

(7) Dr Lee[n] 19/1

NOTE—Contd. sheet.

61E—60,000—1 C 12

R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mysl File No. 256 of 1912-13

Serial No	
57	Draft order may be approved as it is expedient for either Govt or the Municipal Council to decide what is practically a keen dispute of a civil nature Draft order approved by the Dewan 29/1/14 Dewan 29/1/14 Secy 29/1/14
58	Draft order approved by the Dewan 29/1/14 Secy 29/1/14
59	Issue 29/1
10	60 To President Mal Council Bangalore City No 7707 dd 9.2.14 Returns Enclosures and the matter may be left for adjudication by a civil court having jurisdiction over the locality of the city being in dispute
11	61 To the President of the Central Muhammadan Association of Bangalore No 7708 dd 9.2.14 Informing that Govt are not prepared to reconsider the decision already arrived at in the matter
12	62 To Abdul Salam Saheb No 7709 dd 9.2.14 Informing that Govt decline to interfere in the matter

NOTE—Contin. sheet.

GPE—65 000—1,-C 12.

D no 440

Ind File no 956-12

Petition of Mr Ibrahim Ahmed Kadim, President of the Central Sudanese association of Singapore

Dt 27th August 1913
Rd 31 1 1913

addressed to
the Secretary

Prays on a re-consideration of his no 1982 dt 23-10-13 that permission be given him to build on site no 85 of J near the Cattlepound in the Bencoolen Rd

Nil
5 Te/13

10/2/13

256

File No 12

Serial No,

GRS 26]

Diary No of letter replied to 4409

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Rev)

, the 19

Date of issue 15 2 13

Signed by Mr 2/2/13

No 6187

Rev 256-12

9/15-2-13

To

The President of the

Rl Council

Bangalore city

Sir

With reference to correspondence ending with this office memo 933 Rev 238-10) - 4 dt the 29th August 1912 requesting you to take necessary action regarding the ownership of site Nos 85 & 87 near the cattle pound in the Bangalore city I am directed to forward herewith in favor of a Complete

over

SUBJECT

3

Petn [illegible] inhabitants of Kya Kan,
Pargana Chandausi Tahsil Moradabad, Central Muhammadan Assoc
petrs of Mr Gulam Ahmad Khan, praying for permission to
build houses for pasis on the
land sold.

9 Encls

Draft Dtd 13/2
By I-in-Secy

D169
4/2/13

List of enclosures,

Copied by 14/2
Examined by 14/2

GIPB—10,000—25-2-11.

2845 13/2/13 4

GRS 37]

Form C

No 6166
ml 256-12-3

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT } To
, the 15-2-13 1913

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

The undersigned is directed to inform
Mr Gulam Mahomed
Kalam

that his petition* has been transferred
to the President- Mt Council
Bangalore city- for ~~disposal~~ report-

* Dated 27 June 1913
Subject Paper under disposal
Praying for permission
to build on site- nos 85 + 87
near the Cattle pound in
the Bangalore city-

By Order

Draft DMK 13/2
KK 15/2/13 for Secy

715 69
5-2-13

5

Office of the President, Municipal Council,
Bangalore City, dated the 12th May 1913.

From

Dewan Bahadur Raja Sabha Bhushana
K. P. Puttanna Chetty Esquire,
Honorary President, Municipal Council,
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your Official memorandum No. 1933 Ml. 238-10-4 dated the 29th August 1912 and letter No. 6187 Ml. 256-12-2 dated the 15th February 1913, forwarding, for report with records, the petition of Mr. Gulam Ahmad Kalami, President Central Mahamadan Association, praying for permission to build houses for gassals on site Nos. 85 and 87 near the Cattle Pound in the Bangalore City, I have the honor to state that the question was referred to the City Improvement Committee who inspected the place on the 27th March 1913 and heard both Abdus Salam Meccai who has applied for the renewal of the license to build on site No 87 and some of the members of the Central Mahamadan Association.

2. I submit herewith a copy of the Vice President's memo fully dealing with the facts of the case and the main points involved in it together with the opinion of the Committee member who have recorded their views individually.

3. The Vice President's memo shows that the grant of t sites in 1864 by the Surkhaji to certain gassals to build ho to live in and keep the bier etc, was of a conditional natur as in the mutchalika executed by the gassals in favour of t Surkhaji on the 7th May 1864 it is made clear that they s

191

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

quit the land when they failed to perform the duties of gassals, and that under the said grant the gassals did not and could not acquire powers to alienate the sites as if they were the owners thereof.

4. The sites probably formed part of the old disused Mahamadan burial ground and were allowed for temporary residence of gassals subject to the performance of the duty of gassals. The sale of Site No. 87 to abdus Salam Meccai (a relative of the Khaji) cannot therefore be recognized and the license he prays for to build a shop on the site cannot be granted.

Some of the members of the Committee while expressing that the license applied for by Abdus Salam Meccai cannot be granted think that this is a Civil dispute and that the Municipality should not interfere in it until the parties settle their claims in a Civil Court

They probably think that the dispute is between Abdus Salam Meccai and the President of the Central Mahamadan Association

On the other hand, the question is whether the Municipality on whose behalf the Surkhaji apparently acted, should give up its rights to the land on behalf of the Community by recognizing an unauthorised alienation of the site by a gassal who had ceased to perform his duties and had acted against the conditions of his mutchalika

6 The records relating to the question of the right to the said sites show that the Municipality has all along held the disposal of the sites to be under their control Mahamad Tavakal in his statement dated 6-10-1899 given before the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District in Muzrai case No 1 of 98-99 has said that the Khaji procured the land in question from the Municipality (Vide pp 50). A reference to page 48 of the enclosed record shows that Abdul Basith Sheriff petitioned the Municipality on 26-12-1899 stating that the mutchalika executed in favour of his father Surkhaji Abid Sheriff Sahib by the gassals who were permitted to rebuild their houses on lands

to them conditionally on the recommendation of his father, should be renewed to enforce the conditions originally laid down.

To recognize the present alienation would be inconsistent with the policy adopted by the Municipality hitherto in the case of those sites. I therefore do not agree with those members in thinking that this is a matter in which the Municipality cannot interfere and assert its rights.

7. The Surkhaji was not the owner of the sites nor did he alienate them absolutely. The Central Mahamadan Association on behalf of the muslim community is representing that the sites are Municipal property and should be made use of as before for the onstruction of residences for gassals, whose services are needful in the City and who have no quarters to live in the new burial ground on the Mysore road, the only two rooms constructed there having been taken up by the Peish Imam and the Watchman I think the request of the Association is reasonable and may be complied with.

I beg to be favoured with the orders of Government in the matter.

8. The petition received with the letter under reply together with the file of records relating to the case, as per pherist, is herewith submitted as called for.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Honorary President

8

Opinion of the City Improvement Committee
dated 6th April 1913.

Enc to Govt 256-17
dtd

PRESENT.

The President,
The Vice President,
The Health Officer,
The Municipal Engineer,
Mr. H. Ramaiya,
B.K. Garuda Char,
D.B. Ramachandra Mudaliar,

ABSENT.

Mr. B. Usman Khan,
B.V. Ramaswami Chetty

I think this is a case in which the Municipality cannot interfere unless the claims of the contending parties are settled by a Civil Court.

Sd H. Ramaiya,
22-4-1913.

This is completely a case of Civil nature The party is to settle themselves in Civil Court and come for license

Sd B K Garuda Char,
22-4-1913

I agree with Messrs H. Ramaiah and Garuda Char

Sd A K. Pani
1-5-1913

7

I agree. The absolute right of ownership, should be first decided in a Court of Law, before license can be granted to either of them, whether it is for gassals residence, or any others.

Sd. D.B. Ramachandra Mudaliar

24-4-1913.

The grant of land, in 1864, by the Surkhaji to Mohamad Tavakal and others was not an absolute gift, but a temporary and conditional grant, so that the Government retained their full ownership of the land; and this they invested in the Municipality when the latter came into existence.

The parties, who were in temporary possession of the lands and buildings in them had therefore no power of alienation The sale to Abdul Salam Meccai cannot be recognized by the Municipality.

It, therefore, seems to me that the Municipality will be within the implied article of trust if they should make over the land to the Central Mohamadan Association representing the Community, on similar conditions as were originally fixed, i e either for building temporary houses for gassals or leaving the land open

Sd T. Ramachandra Rao,

28-4-1913.

Copies ".

For Honorary President.

10

Encl 256-12/814

M E M O.

Subject:- Petition of Abdul Salam Mdeeni to renew the license to build in site No. 87 in the Mysore Road.

The records in this case show that a piece of land 26 yards in length and 12 yards in breadth forming part of a Mahamadan Burial ground closed so far back 1840 was granted, in the year 1864, by the Surkhaji of Bangalore Town, Mahamad Abid Saib Sheriff to Mahamad Tavakal, Sultanamma, Budan Sab and others for building houses to keep the their heir and to live with their families and a mutchalika on stamped paper was obtained from them to the effect that they would in return perform the duties of gassals, and that if they failed in any way to do so they would quit the land.

Washing dead bodies

2. Mahamad Tavakal built his shed on site No. 87, Sultanamma on site No. 86 and Budan Sab on site No. 85, and were living there performing the duties required of them

3. These houses were demolished in the Plague season

4. Subsequently permission was sought for to rebuild them and as the Committee were not against the houses being rebuilt it seems

seems to have been granted in December 1899. The records contain licenses grant^d to Kulsumbi and Mastan Sab.

5. At this time the then Khaji Abdul Basit Sheriff seems to have reported to the Municipal Office that the Gassals who were granted sites on certain conditions and who have been allowed to rebuild their houses should be called on to renew their Mutcha-like. On this it was ordered that the construction should be stopped and the licenses with-drawn

6. Upon this in 1900 the Gassals seem to have [illegible] work, i e , attending to the unclaimed dead bodies of mahamadans. They were then asked to renew their petition to rebuild and were permitted in 26-9-1900 to build temporary sheds on condition that they would remove them when required to do so by the Municipality.

7. Kulsumbi to whom the site No. 87 had passed in 1899 does not seem to have taken advantage of the permission granted as above probably as she was not one of the gassals. Her husband Attar Mahamad Gows in 1903 applied for a license to build a shop on site No. 87 and was replied on 31-8-1903 that no license could be granted as it was ascertained that the sites had been given to Gassals temporarily to build their sheds and to make them over to the Municipality with-out compensation when required.

8. There seems to have been a well built till

12

till 1908 when Abdul Salam applied for a license to build a shop on the same site No. 87 stating that he had purchased it for ₹300/-. The Engineer reported that the shop could be built as the Plague Committee had in 1899 expressed that a building could be put up on the site in a line with the houses situated on the Mysore Road. Accordingly the verandah shown in the plan was struck off and license was granted on 28-12-1908 to construct the rest of the building.

paper 17

paper (13)

9. A petition from the Mahamadans of Jali Mohalla and Nalbundwadi was received about this time stating that one of the gassals was in her house attending to her work, (this is Sultanamma who lives on site No. 86 and is attending to the duties of a gassal) that two other houses had come down and that they might be permitted to build thereon so that they may from the rent of those houses be able to look to the up-keep of the Khabarastan The Health Officer was then requested to stop delivery of the license granted to Abdul Salam which however had been delivered

paper 21

10. The Mahmadans again submitted a petition on 6-1-1909 stating that the license granted to Abdul Salam should be cancelled as the sites were granted temporarily to gassals. They were given an endorsement that the license granted did not create any rights which Abdul Salam had not possessed

paper 22

(how it is paid)

paper 28

11 They again submitted a petition and were given an endorsement on 27-2-1909 that, as the Health Officer had reported that the site appeared to be the property of Abdul Salam to whom a license had already been granted that the site was not used for the purposes of the burial ground and that the burial ground had already been closed, ~~no permission could be granted to them to build shops~~ no permission could be granted to them to build shops.

paper 29 Coll. R Jud 238-40)-1

12. Abdul Salam got his license renewed on 5-4-1909 but constructed no shop.

13. On 17-1-1911 a petition from the President Central Mahamadan Association complaining against the illegal alienation of the land and the grant of the license to Abdul Salam was received through the Secretary to Government for report and a report was submitted to Government on 31-7-1912 stating that the licenses had been granted as Abdul Salam was the purchaser and there were no records available to prove the title of the Municipality to the lands that a new burial ground had been opened and was in use for a long time and that as necessary provision for the residents of Musalman undertakers had been made there, ~~laid~~ there was no necessity for restoring the sites to the Mahamadan Community.

Jud 238-40)-3

R 4 of 12

14. Government ordered thereon that there was no necessity for restoring the sites for the construction of houses for the ~~gabsals~~ for reasons stated above and that such further action as seemed necessary should

should be taken regarding the ownership of the sites.

paper 39

15. Abdul Salam applied on 14-10-1918 for the renewal of the license.

papers 42 & 43

16. The mutahalika referred to para 3 which had been produced before the Deputy Commissioner in a Musrai case was obtained and it has been translated into English by one of Municipal Councillors.

17. On the endorsement issued to the President of the Central Mahamadan Association, he has represented to Government that there are only two residential quarters in the new burial ground that one of them, 16 X 10 feet, is occupied by the Peish Imam, and the other of the same dimensions, by the Watchman, that houses are required for the grave diggers who have to live on the premises, that there are no houses available for the gassals there and that as the duties of the gassals require them to be always available in the City to wash the dead bodies and clothe them before they are conveyed, the sites should be restored to build houses for them.

paper 16 on file

paper 21

papers 2 & 3

18. It will be seen that while granting license to Abdul Salam the order issued on 31-8-1903 was lost sight of Notwithstanding this, an attempt seems to have been made to with-draw the license but it was too late then The granting of permission to gassals to rebuild their houses as per resolution of the Plague Committee was based on

separate

paper M

separate considerations. So was also the order of 26-9-1900. Then the gasals had struck work and it was necessary to permit them to build temporary sheds subject to a condition that they should make over the sites to the Municipality without compensation when so required, and to continue their services as before. This shows that the grant by the Khaji on behalf of the Community could be revoked as stated in the mutchalika when the gasals failed or even ceased to perform the duties required of them. The mutchalika evidently does not permit any gasal to treat the site as his property and to dispose it of as he likes when he is no longer a gasal Mahamad Tavakal had therefore no right to alienate the site to Kulsumbi, and much less had Kulsumbi any right to effect a sale of the site to a person who does not do the duties of a gasal.

19. The sites were a part of the Pharastan which was in the enjoyment of the Maharaden Community on account of the Municipality. It does not seem possible for the Surkhaji to dispose of bits thereof absolutely or for any one who obtains a right to temporary use such as a right to live and to keep the heir etc, to create in others absolute rights of ownership. It does not therefore appear reasonable to renew the licence as prayed for by Abdul Salam.

20. Local enquiry shows that site

DIARY No. 409

19/2-1913
SEC-10 mel
256-12

17

Bangalore City.

Dated. 27th:January 1913.

29

From

The President,
Central Muhammadan Association
of Mysore (Bangalore.)

To

K. R. Sreenivasiengar, Esqr., B.A.,
Offg: Secretary to the Government
of H.H the Maharaja of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments
BANGALORE.

Sir,

I beg to acknowledge receipt of your letter No.1932/238-10-4 dated 29th August 1912. It has been placed for consideration before the members of my community, who have asked me to make the following representations to you on their behalf

You are pleased to state, ~~on~~ in the letter under reference, that "as necessary provision for the residents of the Musalman undertakers has been made in the new burial ground, there is now no necessity for restoring the sites No.85,86 & 87 near the cattle pound in the Bangalore City for the use of Gassals In reply I beg to point out that on the new Muslim Burial Ground referred to above, only two --- houses have been built, which are occupied as follows -

One of the houses, which consists of only one room measuring no more than 16×10 feet is occupied by the "Pesh Imam " The other room of the same dimensions is occupied by the watchman In addition to the above employes of the community, three grave diggers are also required to live on the premises and they have yet to be provided for. It is thus clear that no Gassals are, or have been living on the premises of the new cemetery and no houses have been constructed for them.

part from the want of accommodation for Gassals in the new Cemetery, I may state that their services are always requisitioned during night and day time inside the town, where Musalman dead bodie are washed, cleaned and clothed, in accordance with the religious

rites before they are conveyed, on the bier out of the town, to the new Burial Ground On this account it is necessary for the Gassals to live in the heart of the town, so that they may be available any time they are wanted. It is incumbent on us to maintain also at ---- least three Gassals, who require not less than three houses. For want of such houses my association has applied to you, through me, in the letter dated the 20th December 1910, requesting the Government to restore the sites Nos 85 & 87 for the purpose, for which they were originally allotted and used. Site No.86 has still on it the house of one of the Gassals, who has been performing her duties satisfactori -ly under the direction of my Association. The substitutes of the Gassals, who originally lived on sites Nos.85 & 87 are now living in rented houses at a heavy cost and inconveniences for want of these free-quarters, and the Association has been paying them no less than Rs.7-8-0 per month for the last 4 years

When these sites, which are really a portion of the old disused Cemetery, were allotted for erecting houses of the Gassals thereon the Burial Ground itself had discontinued to be used as such. But it was a "Wakf" belonging to the community, and therefore the sites above named, -- which were found vacant, were allotted for the purpose, they being situated in the heart of the town and also very close to the two ---- large Muhammadan Mohollas (localities) of "Nalbandwadi" and "Jali "

Some years ago the municipality chose to open a conservancy lane through the Burial Ground , on the sides of which the old graves are still visible This lane however has become the cause of separat ing the sites in question from the Main Burial Ground. As this was obviously done to connect the above Mohollas with the Main Road, none of the Muslim Community seem to have then raised any objection to it My Association has lately fenced the old grave yard at the cost of the community, with a view, especially, to prevent the egress of cattle, or further damage being done to the graves, or in general to avoid any sort of despoliation of the land sacred to the community

Further I beg to inform you that subsequent to the submission

of my first representation on this subject, in the month of December 1910 my Association was entrusted by the Musalmans of Jali Mohella with the possession of sites Nos.85 & 87 whereupon site No.85 is rented out for Rs.1-8-0 a month and the rent so collected is being utilized towards disbursing a part of the rent that has to be paid for the houses in which the other two Gassals live. Now it is under contemplation to -- construct houses for Gassals on the sites. If the Government are --- pleased to accord their permission to this proposal my community will feel grateful and the Association will be glad to find funds for the purpose, thereby making permanent arrangements for the proper housing and maintenance of the "Gassals" a pressing want of the Musalman Citizens of Bangalore

I have the honour to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M Ahmad Kalam

President

C M Association

11-7-13. Enquiries as to who is in possession of the land on which it is proposed to build houses for Muhammadans in the Bangalore city

G R S 26]

File No 256/12

Serial No 5

Diary No of letter replied to 715

Swd 13 a.i.w.e

No 649 ml 25-6-12

9/5/13

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Pol)

, the 191

To

The President

Pol Council

Bangalore city

Date of issue 15/7/13

Signed by Mr. A. V. R

Sir,

With reference

to your letter no. 305

dated the 12th May 1913

reporting on the petition

of the President of the

Central Muhammadan

Association praying for

permission to build houses

over

SUBJECT

for grass. A near the cattle pound on the Hospital road in the Bangalore City. I am directed to request you to be so good as to state

(1) who is in possession of the land in question or

(2) who all claim to be in possession of the same

2 The records of the case are herewith returned for reference & remembrance

I have etc

List of enclosures

Dlrs 1247

For Secy

Dlrs 69
877/13

Copied by CS. 1247/13

Examined by

GPB—2,000—8-8-11

No. 24
17 file

Office of the President, Municipal Council
Bangalore City, dated the 22nd July 1913.

From

Dewan Bahadur Rajasabha Bhushana
K.P. Puttanna Chetty Esquire,
Honorary President, Municipal Council,
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments
Bangalore.

Sir,

Current file

With reference to your letter No. 649/Ml.256-12-5 dated the 15th instant, enquiring who is in possession of the land near the cattle pound on the Mysore road on which houses for gassals are proposed to be built or who all claim to be in possession of the same, I have the honor to state that site No. 87 which adjoins the Mysore road is ascertained to be in the possession of the Central Mahamodan Association and that one Abdul Karim who keeps a petty shop thereon pays a rent of Rs. 1-8-0 monthly to the Association. This is admitted by the said Abdul Karim. Site No. 85 is lying vacant Abdus Salam is the only person who claims both the sites as having been purchased by him as already reported.

2 The file received with the letter under reply is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

K.P. Puttanna Chetty

Honorary President.

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No

No.

Enclosure No

GPB—20,000—28-9-18

23

Petition of Abdul Salam Meeran

D 747 Nil 2578-12)-7

By 26.7.13

a

Rd. 26.7.13

addressed to the Secretary

Request that action on a consideration of the case, a license may be granted to him to build upon his Vacant plot near the cattle pound, Bungalow of

TDS 69

9/8/13

GRS 13]

24

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc, not intended for permanent record]

2747

Petition of Abdul Salaam Meccai stating that he purchased a site in 1909 and obtained a license to build thereon in the same year, that no orders have yet been passed on his application made two years ago to renew the said license, and that the present President of the Bangalore Municipality has reported to Govt that the site belongs to the Municipality

Dewan's order

The case should be submitted for orders after full information about it ~~the case~~ is obtained

Intd M V
25 7 1913

Order not received
28/7/13

28/7/13

N B — Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

G P B—25 000—28-7 13

certain sites near the [illegible] road at
Cemetery on the Mypore road

25

G R.S 26]

File No 256

Serial No 8 12

Diary No of letter replied to 747

Sus 52

No 13 1728

mk 256-12-

98813

General and Revenue Secretariat

(mk)

, the 19

To

The President

Council

Bangalore city

Date of issue 8 8 13

Signed by MrAVR

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no. 24 dated the 24th July 1913 re the grant of permission to mud houses for grass on certain sites near the Cattle-pound on the Mysore road over

paper under disposal

III

SUBJECT

2.

I am directed to observe that it appears from the petitions of both parties that a lane was opened adjoining the old burial ground some time ago and to enquire whether the land required for that lane was not acquired on payment of Compensation as represented in para 5 of Abdul Salam Meccas petition dated the 25th July 1913 herewith sent for reference

paper under disposal W

2 I am also to enquire whether any tax on the vacant land in dispute has been levied & if so, the period of levy and the party who paid it may be intimated

List of enclosures,

3 The accompanying petition may also be reported upon in due

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10 000—26-2-11

GRS 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form)

27

respects and returned
together with your report
The file of your office
about the property in
question is also sent
herewith for reference

I have etc

Secy
5/8.

Asst
5/8 For Secy

TS 69
4/8/13

28

No 184 OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

Bangalore City, dated 6th December 1913.

Enclo one file & a petition

D 3340

Rl 256-12/8 9

From

DEWAN BAHADUR RAJA SABHA BHUSHANA
K P PUTTANNA CHETTY, ESQ,
Honorary President, Municipal Council,
Bangalore City

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Current file

With reference to your letter No. 1728 /Ml. 256-12-8 dated the 8th August 1913 calling for further information in RE the grant of permission to build houses for gassals on certain sites near the Cattle pound on the Mysore road, I have the honor to state that from the acquisition records available in this office it is ascertained that a lane was opened at the place in question in 1899, that payment of compensation was made to owners of certain properties acquired for the said lane, that compensation mutchalikas were taken by the late Mr. Subba Rao, Deputy Amildar attached to the City Improvement Office from certain others who relinquished their lands for the same purpose but payment was not made to them owing to their non-appearance before the acquisition Officer to receive compensation, probably on account of death, desertion etc of the parties conserned. The owners of sites Nos 85 86 and 87, viz, Mastansabi and Thavakalsabi, as mentioned in Fatimabi,

the

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No

No

Enclosure No

G?B—50,000—26-9-12

the compensation mutchalikas, are among the latter. There are a number of such compensation mutchalikas in the record referring to acquisition of properties in several other localities in the City remaining undisposed of for want of payment.

2. In regard to payment of assessment on site No.87 in question, it is reported that the land remained Unassessed until 1907-08, as the house which stood on it before had been demolished under the Epidemic Disease Regulation in 1898 and the owner probably not being traceable. The site was assessed in 1908-09 when the petitioner Abdur Salam Meccai became its alleged owner by purchase and the tax thereon, viz, Rs0-3-8 was recovered from him for that year for the first time. The tax on the site was recovered for the subsequent years 1909-10, 1910-11, 1911-12 and 1912-13 from Mr. Abbas Khan, Secretary of the Central Mahamedan Association.

3. As regards the points referred to in Abdur Salam's petition received with the letter under reply and herewith returned, I beg to state that this office letter No. 36 dated the 6th August 1912 addressed to Government fully deals with all the questions at issue as raised by the petitioner and there is nothing more to add in the matter.

4. The entire file bearing on the subject is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Honorary President.

D 747 30

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue and General Departments,
BANGALORE.

Sir

I beg to represent the following few lines for your kind perusal and favorable consideration -

(1) In the year 1908 I purchased 2 sites Nos 87 and 85 on the Mysore Road from Kulsoom Bee and Fatma Bee for Rs 400/- and Rs 100/- respectively, and the sale-deeds in respect of these have been duly registered.

(2) The house on No 87 had been demolished under the Plague Rules in 1898 and a license to build a house according to Sanitary principles was granted to Kulsuum Bee who sold the land to me Vide Improvements Committee's decision dated ~ 1899 of which Mr. K.P Puttanna Chetty was the then President

(3) As the period prescribed in the license had expired when I bought the site I applied for the license, the same was granted to me after the land was inspected by Mr Krishna Rao then Health Officer, late Mr Chengiah Naidoo the late Municipal Engineer and finally by Mr B J Kumarsami Naik the President In this connection I may also mention that certain Mahomedans of the locality instigated by Mr Abbas Khan the Secretary of The Central Mahomedan Association opposed the grant of a license, but the President after due consideration of the records and facts of the case granted me the license and rejected their petition These people again attempted a second time which was also rejected and the parties were served with endorsements accordingly

(4) Thereupon an appeal was preferred to Government by the President of the above association and the same was after a due consideration not favorably considered. (Vide Government Proceedings dated ✕ It may not be out of place for me to invite your kind attention to the report made to Government by Mr B. J. Kumarsami Naik the then President of the City Municipality on the appeal petition preferred against me by the President of The Central Mahomedan Association. During the tenure of my term I applied to the Vice-President for the renewal of the license but final orders from Government

PTO

31

(5) I beg to mention that the Municipality has levied tax on them from time immemorial and it is being paid till now The land now being let out by me to one Shamanna on a small rent under a rental deed During the time Mr T T Leonard was the President of the City Municipality a portion of these sites was acquired to extend a road from Jali Mohalla and to connect the same to Mysore Road like other private lands by the previous owners i e , my sellers.

details of for not available

not in the records

(6) At the last inspection of the site by the President and several Municipal Councillors about 2 months ago, the members of the City Improvement Committee including the Health Officer unanimously upheld my cause whereas it was the President, the Vice President and the Municipal Engineer that differed in their opinion

(7) Under these circumstances I humbly beg that the Government may be pleased to direct the renewal of my license at an early date

(8) For which act of kindness I shall ever be very gratef ful to you.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

25th July 1913
Bangalore City

Abdus Salam Hussain

Hindustani Munshee, Wesleyan High School

Bangalore City

Declines to interfere with the orders already issued regarding the prayer of the Central Muhammadan Association to build houses for gassals in the Bangalore city

GRS 28]

File No 256/12
Serial No 10

Diary No of letter replied to 3340

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

, the 191

Date of issue 9/14

Signed by Hara V Rammathan

Entitle

READ—

Petition dated the 27th January 1913 from the President of the Central Muhammadan Association of Mysore, praying that permission may be granted for the construction of houses for gassals (washers of muhammadan dead bodies) on the sites mentioned 85 & 87

over

SUBJECT

and situated near the
cattle pound on the Mysore
Road in the Bangalore City
and stating that they have
been in possession of the same
since Dec. 1910

2) Petition dated 25th July 1913 from Mr Abdur Salam thereon alleging that he is in possession of the said site having purchased them several years ago from the original holders & that the Municipality who first granted a licence to him in 1908 to build on the site made improperly refuse a renewal of the licence on the ground that the Central Muhammadan Association oppose it — paper under disposal W

3 Correspondence ending with letter No. 184 dated the 1st December 1913 from the President, Municipal — paper under disposal V

GPB—20,000—2-10-11

G R S 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form)

Council Bangalore City in the matter of hearing the complaining claims.

Order passed

No 7707
ml2-5612-09214

As the possession of the sites is in dispute, Govt consider that the matter may be left for adjudication by a civil Court having jurisdiction over it

29/1/14
RG

Sd/- 19/1 for Secy

Rs 61
17/1/14

To the President, Municipal Council Bangalore City, returning the records of the Case

Copies filed

G R S 26]

35

File No

Serial No 11

Diary No of letter replied to

3 drafts

No 7708
ml 256-12
09 9 2.14

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Madras)

, the *191*

To

The President of the
Central Mohammedan
Association of Mysore
Bangalore

Date of issue 9 2 14

Signed by Mira V Ramanathan

Sir

In reply to your
letter of the 27th June 1913
praying that on a reconsi-
deration of the Govt Order No 1932
PWI 238-40-4
of the 29th August 1912 permission
may be granted to build houses
for grasscutters on the 8 as 1 87

paper mosc.
disposal
I

over

SUBJECT

68 dly

26

near the Cattle-pound on the

Mysore road in the Bangalore City

I am directed to state that

Govt see no reasons to reconsider

the decision already arrived at in the matter.

the said question

I have the

honour to

be

Sir

Your most obedient

servant

for Secy

Rs 61

6/1/14

Bde

7/1

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8-8-11

G R S 34]

No 7709 ml 25-12-12

57

GOVERNMENT OF H H THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

(3 drafts)

Office Memorandum

T

the 9. 2 1914

With reference to his petition dated the 25th July 1913 praying for a renewal of the licence originally granted for building a house on site No 87, Medina Salam Square, Krishnarajapuram Wesleyan ~~Mission~~ High School Bangalore City is informed that as the possession of the site appears to be in dispute, Govt decline to interfere in the matter

By Order

Asst
7/1 For Secy

Rs 61
6/11/14 Bde. (7)

Copied 7/1/14

GPS—5,000—7-8-11

The Shamsuma has small rent under a
"unbelief" that when a portion of the Lake
was acquired during the time of the demand.
Compensation was paid to the previous
owners and not about 2 months ago when
the members of the Dept Comm. were
including the Health officer unanimously
upheld his cause during meeting in person
only 3 officers differed, the President, Vice
- dent the rest Engineer and regular in the
circumstances for a renewal of the
license
Sgd to the President municipal Bangalore
city no 17289 dt 8/8/13 Forward to the return
Sgd can't requested him to submit a detailed
report thereon and also furnish information
as to when the land was acquired for the Lake
Sgd from do no 1854 dt 12/12/13. Reports
Answer of like nos 85 & 86 of 8 are mentioned
in the Comm from Sahan Ambulance which
like so many other cases in the city remain
indefinitely for want of payment Ambulance
service was established in 1908 and a total of
1,00,000 was received from Abdul Salam
Sahib (for the first time from the tax for the
intervening years was received from an
Abbas Ali on Secretary of the Central
Muhammadan Association
to the President, the Council. Bangalore city
Dated the 14th October 1913 Sir with reference to the memorandum

[illegible] for adjournment by a week [illegible]
[illegible] further hearing of the matter
being in dispute.

Sh. Lo the Respondent's Counsel informed
Association of Bangalore no 7708 dated 9/2/14
Respondent's Counsel no reason to
reconsider the decision already arrived on
the matter.

Sh. Lo Abdul Salam Nawaz no 7709 dated
9/2/14 Respondent's Counsel not present
to interfere in the
Hearing
9/2/14